TABLE 56B. SUMMARY OF LOCAL SALES AND USE TAX COLLECTIONS AND DISTRIBUTABLE SHARES BY COUNTY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008-2009 [G.S. 105 ARTICLES 39,40,42,44,46]

				-	[G.S. 105	AKTICLES 39,4	0,42,44,40]		_
		Total				Total			
	Total	net	Total		Total	net	Total		
	net	distributable	net		net	distributable	net		
	collections	proceeds	distributable		collections	proceeds	distributable		
	Articles	Articles	proceeds as %		Articles	Articles	proceeds as %		
	39,40,42,44,46		of total		39,40,42,44,46	39,40,42,44,46	of total		
County	[\$]	[\$]	net collections	County	[\$]	[\$]	net collections	County	L
Alamance	38,877,760.79	38,478,171.28		Hertford	4,605,638.67	5,468,827.29	118.74%	Vance	1
Alexander	4,111,792.46	6,949,926.84		Hoke	, ,	6,595,680.16			
Alleghany	1,732,785.92	2,363,669.08		Hyde	1,278,769.71	1,312,980.26		Warren	
Anson	3,161,191.96	4,832,706.75		Iredell	46,422,315.75	42,353,694.35	91.24%	Washington	
Ashe	5,722,178.69	6,133,879.82		Jackson	9,997,677.04	9,995,365.23	99.98%	Watauga	
Avery	5,383,412.73	5,377,777.23	99.90%	Johnston	31,226,773.04	35,696,109.97	114.31%	Wayne	1
Beaufort	11,594,426.84	12,207,409.69		Jones	673,238.86	1,501,939.45	223.09%	Wilkes	
Bertie	1,756,493.38	3,308,795.31		Lee	14,352,055.16	14,323,318.46		Wilson	
Bladen	4,668,736.91	6,699,453.15		Lenoir	12,474,327.32	12,868,952.77		Yadkin	
Brunswick	29,587,118.16	29,530,612.60		Lincoln	13,886,169.30	16,066,924.02	115.70%	Yancey	Ł
Buncombe	83,448,118.93	72,957,476.23	87.43%	Macon	10,617,832.10	9,614,576.22	90.55%	Totals	2
Burke	13,881,552.52	18,727,384.04		Madison	2,132,599.29	3,585,338.14	168.12%	Less:	
Cabarrus	53,315,102.65	48,502,085.47	90.97%	Martin	5,843,707.80	6,379,755.36	109.17%	administrative costs	
Caldwell	14,293,338.58	17,681,198.29	123.70%	McDowell	7,400,459.43	9,897,957.35	133.75%	costs pursuant to G.S. 105-501	
Camden	1,271,902.91	1,734,154.68	136.34%	Mecklenburg.	317,130,678.73	258,239,825.87	81.43%	Distributable to units	, 2
Carteret	22,960,044.37	20,932,046.63	91.17%	Mitchell	3,729,628.95	3,851,628.28	103.27%	These amounts do not agree v	vit
Caswell	1,322,255.99	3,486,026.22	263.64%	Montgomery	3,652,384.66	5,302,938.33	145.19%	year 2008-09 due to the lag in	tl
Catawba	49,993,818.76	47,262,962.30	94.54%	Moore	22,889,903.22	23,577,529.94	103.00%	costs must be deducted from	ıe
Chatham	9,676,720.80	12,471,641.81	128.88%	Nash	24,526,502.79	23,723,440.03	96.73%	proceeds to local government	s.
Cherokee	7,257,935.12	7,084,015.23	97.60%	New Hanover	76,966,049.50	64,875,100.37	84.29%	taxes related to Articles 39, 40),
Chowan	2,370,826.58	3,259,655.11	137.49%	Northampton.	1,447,953.94	3,376,869.70	233.22%	during the period July 1, 2008	3 1
Clay	1,707,316.73	2,120,539.68	124.20%	Onslow	40,338,156.10	42,529,946.59	105.43%		
Cleveland	17,333,917.45	21,423,739.96	123.59%	Orange	26,439,990.56	31,904,642.17	120.67%	Article 39 proceeds and Artic	le
Columbus	8,541,816.91	10,055,816.89	117.72%	Pamlico	1,740,543.79	2,532,965.07	145.53%	basis. (Refer to Table 57B for	, q
Craven	23,438,783.04	24,667,068.90	105.24%	Pasquotank	10,318,529.85	10,457,285.01	101.34%	for details of distribution of A	r
Cumberland	88,867,045.84	87,553,210.79	98.52%	Pender	6,768,118.80	9,723,638.83	143.67%		
Currituck	9,218,144.85	7,333,114.64	79.55%	Perquimans	1,223,852.29	2,325,728.28	190.03%	Articles 40 and 42 proceeds a	re
Dare	30,415,059.42	21,683,672.98	71.29%	Person	7,234,193.53	8,501,741.52	117.52%	population. County allocated	a
Davidson	24,655,369.57	32,183,400.17	130.53%	Pitt	46,227,614.26	46,263,055.34	100.08%	by the State and adjusted by	an
Davie	6,528,017.12	8,068,760.46	123.60%	Polk	2,434,304.52	3,670,943.01	150.80%	specified in G.S. 105-486(b).	Aı
Duplin	7,608,558.03	10,759,942.78	141.42%	Randolph	22,184,563.60	28,951,944.33	130.50%	for expenses associated with t	he
Durham	94,700,735.22	84,643,401.97	89.38%	Richmond	8,223,693.05	10,691,749.84	130.01%	assessment training progran	1
Edgecombe	8,327,981.98	11,063,588.88	132.85%	Robeson	22,024,874.09	28,316,071.61	128.56%	Government Commission, et a	al.
Forsyth	98,840,001.62	91,732,352.49	92.81%	Rockingham	16,261,408.87	20,247,447.27	124.51%	to Article 40 1/2% net allocat	ed
Franklin	6,931,207.31	10,389,240.27	149.89%	Rowan	23,750,705.58	28,130,018.15	118.44%	(Refer to Table 58B for detail	s (
Gaston	44,125,939.47	49,045,534.45	111.15%	Rutherford	12,494,689.30	14,285,562.45	114.33%		
Gates	782,762.86		235.18%	Sampson	10,039,144.63	13,497,218.00	134.45%	Article 44 proceeds are alloca	te
Graham	1,337,563.01	1,704,512.35		Scotland		8,317,449.29	115.72%	point-of-sale and per capita i	
Granville	7,111,981.70			Stanly	11,820,843.83	13,572,430.25	114.82%	(1) one-half (1/2) of the Article	
Greene	1,334,114.23	3,196,345.38		Stokes		8,154,012.36	186.08%	allocated on a point-of-sale	
Guilford	141,634,148.46		90.03%	Surry	20,047,612.38	21,154,486.61	105.52%	(2) one-half (1/2) of the Article	
Halifax	10,751,519.07	12,632,720.34		Swain		3,216,123.81	116.37%	share of state population;	
Harnett	13,547,507.10			Transylvania.	, ,	7,981,746.33	110.64%	by administrative costs reta	
Haywood	15,762,740.62	15,939,535.27		Tyrrell	410,703.69	742,413.79	180.77%	factor according to special	
Henderson	23,906,334.48			Union	34,986,408.33	40,687,949.71	116.30%	(Refer to <i>Table 59B</i> for detail	_
iichuci sull	23,700,334.40	20,100,014.04	107.03/0	C111011	5-1,700,700.33	70,007,777.71	110.50 /0	(Acted to Tubic 37D 101 detail	, (

These amounts do not agree with the actual receipts of the local governments in fiscal year 2008-09 due to the lag in the collection/distribution cycle. Certain administrative costs must be deducted from net collections in determining the amount of distributable proceeds to local governments. The amount of costs associated with local sales and use taxes related to Articles 39, 40, 42, 44, and 46 collected by the Department of Revenue during the period July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009 was \$15,578,992.09.

Total

net

collections

Articles

39,40,42,44,46

[\$1

9.938.531.87

1,731,768.18

2,041,452.89

17,605,471.07

24,172,434,71

12,173,316,56

20,069,998,77

5.017.218.05

2,961,074.29

9,894,044.09

5,684,948.00

2,390,035,074.45 2,374,456,082.36

279,991,772.16

Total

distributable

proceeds

Articles

39,40,42,44,46

[\$1

10.920.501.76

242,892,606.10

3,331,675.01

2,817,943.18

14,928,987,23

26,547,128,04

14,952,939.60

20.019.021.03

7,377,585.67

3,905,022.66

Total

net

distributable

proceeds as %

of total net collections

109.88%

86.75%

192.39%

138.04%

84.80%

109.82%

122.83%

99.75%

147.05%

131.88%

99.35%

0.42%

0.24%

100.00%

net

Article 39 proceeds and Article 46 proceeds are allocated to counties on a point-of-sale basis. (Refer to Table 57B for details of distribution of Article 39 proceeds and to Table 60C for details of distribution of Article 46 proceeds.)

Articles 40 and 42 proceeds are allocated to counties based on a county's share of state population. County allocated amounts are then reduced by administrative costs retained by the State and adjusted by an adjustment factor according to special provisions specified in G.S. 105-486(b). Article 42 1/2% net allocated collections have been reduced for expenses associated with the Property Tax Commission, a property tax appraisal and assessment training program (School of Government at UNC-Chapel Hill), Local Government Commission, et al. pursuant to G.S. 105-501, and are therefore not equal to Article 40 1/2% net allocated collections.

(Refer to Table 58B for details of distribution of Article 40 and Article 42 proceeds.)

Article 44 proceeds are allocated to counties, incorporating both the point-of-sale and per capita methods:

- (1) one-half (1/2) of the Article 44 net tax collected in a county is allocated on a point-of-sale (origin) basis.
- (2) one-half (1/2) of the Article 44 net tax is allocated based on a county's share of state population; county allocated amounts are then reduced by administrative costs retained by the State and adjusted by an adjustment factor according to special provisions specified in G.S. 105-486(b). (Refer to Table 59B for details of distribution of Article 44 proceeds.)