TABLE 56B. SUMMARY OF LOCAL SALES AND USE TAX COLLECTIONS AND DISTRIBUTABLE SHARES BY COUNTY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008-2009
[G.S. 105 ARTICLES 39,40,42,44,46]

| County | Total net collections Articles 39,40,42,44,46 [\$] | Total <br> net <br> distributable <br> proceeds <br> Articles <br> $39,40,42,44,46$ <br> $[\$]$ | Total net distributable proceeds as \% $\%$ of total net collections | County | Total net collections Articles 39,40,42,44,46 [\$] | Total net distributable proceeds Articles 39,40,42,44,46 [\$] | Total net distributable proceeds as \% of total net collections | County | Total net collections Articles 39,40,42,44,46 [\$] | Total net distributable proceeds Articles 39,40,42,44,46 [\$] | Total net distributable proceeds as \% of total net collections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alamance. | 38,877,760.79 | 38,478,171.28 | 98.97\% | Hertford. | 4,605,638.67 | 5,468,827.29 | 118.74\% | Van | 9,938,531.87 | 10,920,501.76 | 109.88\% |
| Alexander. | 4,111,792.46 | 6,949,926.84 | 169.02\% | Hoke | 2,764,121.27 | 6,595,680.16 | 238.62\% | Wake | 279,991,772.16 | 242,892,606.10 | 86.75\% |
| Alleghany. | 1,732,785.92 | 2,363,669.08 | 136.41\% | Hyde. | 1,278,769.71 | 1,312,980.26 | 102.68\% | Warren | 1,731,768.18 | 3,331,675.01 | 192.39\% |
| Anson... | 3,161,191.96 | 4,832,706.75 | 152.88\% | Iredell | 46,422,315.75 | 42,353,694.35 | 91.24\% | Washington | 2,041,452.89 | 2,817,943.18 | 138.04\% |
| Ashe... | 5,722,178.69 | 6,133,879.82 | 107.19\% | Jackson. | 9,997,677.04 | 9,995,365.23 | 99.98\% | Watauga. | 17,605,471.07 | 14,928,987.23 | 84.80\% |
| Avery.. | 5,383,412.73 | 5,377,777.23 | 99.90\% | Johnston | 31,226,773.04 | 35,696,109.97 | 114.31\% | Wayn | 24,172,434.71 | 26,547,128.04 | 109.82\% |
| Beaufort.. | 11,594,426.84 | 12,207,409.69 | 105.29\% | Jones | 673,238.86 | 1,501,939.45 | 223.09\% | Wilk | 12,173,316.56 | 14,952,939.60 | 122.83\% |
| Bertie. | 1,756,493.38 | 3,308,795.31 | 188.38\% | Lee | 14,352,055.16 | 14,323,318.46 | 99.80\% | Wilson | 20,069,998.77 | 20,019,021.03 | 99.75\% |
| Bladen. | 4,668,736.91 | 6,699,453.15 | 143.50\% | Lenoir | 12,474,327.32 | 12,868,952.77 | 103.16\% | Yadkin | 5,017,218.05 | 7,377,585.67 | 147.05\% |
| Brunswick.. | 29,587,118.16 | 29,530,612.60 | 99.81\% | Lincoln | 13,886,169.30 | 16,066,924.02 | 115.70\% | Yancey | 2,961,074.29 | 3,905,022.66 | 131.88\% |
| Buncombe. | 83,448,118.93 | 72,957,476.23 | 87.43\% | Macon | 10,617,832.10 | 9,614,576.22 | 90.55\% | Totals. | 2,390,035,074.45 | 2,374,456,082.36 | 99.35\% |
| Burke. | 13,881,552.52 | 18,727,384.04 | 134.91\% | Madiso | 2,132,599.29 | 3,585,338.14 | 168.12\% | Less: |  |  |  |
| Cabarrus | 53,315,102.65 | 48,502,085.47 | 90.97\% | Martin | 5,843,707.80 | 6,379,755.36 | 109.17\% | administrative co | 9,894,044.09 |  | 0.42\% |
| Caldwell. | 14,293,338.58 | 17,681,198.29 | 123.70\% | McDowell. | 7,400,459.43 | 9,897,957.35 | 133.75\% | costs pursuant to G.S. 105-501. | 5,684,948.00 |  | 0.24\% |
| Camden... | 1,271,902.91 | 1,734,154.68 | 136.34\% | Mecklenburg. | 317,130,678.73 | 258,239,825.87 | 81.43\% | Distributable to units. | 2,374,456,082.36 | 2,374,456,082.36 | 100.00\% |
| Carteret. | 22,960,044.37 | 20,932,046.63 | 91.17\% | Mitchell. | 3,729,628.95 | 3,851,628.28 | 103.27\% | These amounts do not agree | with the actual re | ipts of the local | ernments in fiscal |
| Caswell. | 1,322,255.99 | 3,486,026.22 | 263.64\% | Montgomery. | 3,652,384.66 | 5,302,938.33 | 145.19\% | year 2008-09 due to the lag | he collection/d | bution cycle. | tain administrative |
| Catawba.. | 49,993,818.76 | 47,262,962.30 | 94.54\% | Moore | 22,889,903.22 | 23,577,529.94 | 103.00\% | costs must be deducted from | et collections in | termining the | unt of distributable |
| Chatham. | 9,676,720.80 | 12,471,641.81 | 128.88\% | Nash. | 24,526,502.79 | 23,723,440.03 | 96.73\% | proceeds to local governmen | The amount of | sts associated | local sales and use |
| Cherokee.. | 7,257,935.12 | 7,084,015.23 | 97.60\% | New Hanover. | 76,966,049.50 | 64,875,100.37 | 84.29\% | taxes related to Articles 39, | , 42, 44, and 46 co | llected by the Dep | rtment of Revenue |
| Chowa | 2,370,826.58 | 3,259,655.11 | 137.49\% | Northamp | 1,447,953.94 | 3,376,869.70 | 233.22\% | during the period July 1, 20 | hrough June | 2009 was \$15,5 | 992.09. |
| Clay... | 1,707,316.73 | 2,120,539.68 | 124.20\% | Onslow | 40,338,156.10 | 42,529,946.59 | 105.43\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cleveland. | 17,333,917.45 | 21,423,739.96 | 123.59\% | Orange. | 26,439,990.56 | 31,904,642.17 | 120.67\% | Article 39 proceeds and Arti | 46 proceeds a | allocated to cou | es on a point-of-sale |
| Columbus. | 8,541,816.91 | 10,055,816.89 | 117.72\% | Pamlic | 1,740,543.79 | 2,532,965.07 | 145.53\% | basis. (Refer to Table 57B for | etails of distrib | on of Article 3 | roceeds and to Table 60 |
| Craven... | 23,438,783.04 | 24,667,068.90 | 105.24\% | Pasquotank... | 10,318,529.85 | 10,457,285.01 | 101.34\% | for details of distribution of A | rticle 46 proceeds.) |  |  |
| Cumberland.. | 88,867,045.84 | 87,553,210.79 | 98.52\% | Pender. | 6,768,118.80 | 9,723,638.83 | 143.67\% |  |  |  |  |
| Currituck.. | 9,218,144.85 | 7,333,114.64 | 79.55\% | Perquimans | 1,223,852.29 | 2,325,728.28 | 190.03\% | Articles 40 and 42 proceeds | allocated to cour | ties based on | unty's share of state |
| Dare. | 30,415,059.42 | 21,683,672.98 | 71.29\% | Person | 7,234,193.53 | 8,501,741.52 | 117.52\% | population. County allocate | amounts are the | reduced by adn | istrative costs retained |
| Davidson... | 24,655,369.57 | 32,183,400.17 | 130.53\% | Pitt | 46,227,614.26 | 46,263,055.34 | 100.08\% | by the State and adjusted by | an adjustment fa | $r$ according to | cial provisions |
| Davie... | 6,528,017.12 | 8,068,760.46 | 123.60\% | Polk. | 2,434,304.52 | 3,670,943.01 | 150.80\% | specified in G.S. 105-486(b). | Article 42 1/2\% ne | t allocated collecti | ions have been reduced |
| Duplin... | 7,608,558.03 | 10,759,942.78 | 141.42\% | Randolph | 22,184,563.60 | 28,951,944.33 | 130.50\% | for expenses associated with | Property Tax | ommission, a pr | eerty tax appraisal and |
| Durham.. | 94,700,735.22 | 84,643,401.97 | 89.38\% | Richmond. | 8,223,693.05 | 10,691,749.84 | 130.01\% | assesssment training program | (School of Gov | nment at UNC-Ch | apel Hill), Local |
| Edgecombe.. | 8,327,981.98 | 11,063,588.88 | 132.85\% | Robeson. | 22,024,874.09 | 28,316,071.61 | 128.56\% | Government Commission, et a | al. pursuant to G | 105-501, and are | therefore not equal |
| Forsyth... | 98,840,001.62 | 91,732,352.49 | 92.81\% | Rockingham.. | 16,261,408.87 | 20,247,447.27 | 124.51\% | to Article $40 \mathbf{1 / 2 \%}$ net alloca | collection |  |  |
| Franklin... | 6,931,207.31 | 10,389,240.27 | 149.89\% | Rowan. | 23,750,705.58 | 28,130,018.15 | 118.44\% | (Refer to Table 58B for details | of distribution of | Article 40 and Ar | ticle 42 proceeds.) |
| Gaston.. | 44,125,939.47 | 49,045,534.45 | 111.15\% | Rutherfor | 12,494,689.30 | 14,285,562.45 | 114.33\% |  |  |  |  |
| Gates.. | 782,762.86 | 1,840,872.14 | 235.18\% | Sampson.... | 10,039,144.63 | 13,497,218.00 | 134.45\% | Article 44 proceeds are allocat | ted to counties, | corporating both |  |
| Graham.. | 1,337,563.01 | 1,704,512.35 | 127.43\% | Scotland. | 7,187,598.23 | 8,317,449.29 | 115.72\% | point-of-sale and per capita m | methods: |  |  |
| Granville.. | 7,111,981.70 | 10,872,717.20 | 152.88\% | Stanly.. | 11,820,843.83 | 13,572,430.25 | 114.82\% | (1) one-half (1/2) of the Article | 44 net tax collecte | din a county is |  |
| Greene. | 1,334,114.23 | 3,196,345.38 | 239.59\% | Stokes. | 4,381,882.29 | 8,154,012.36 | 186.08\% | allocated on a point-of-sale | (origin) basis. |  |  |
| Guilford... | 141,634,148.46 | 127,514,548.14 | 90.03\% | Surry.. | 20,047,612.38 | 21,154,486.61 | 105.52\% | (2) one-half (1/2) of the Article | 44 net tax is allo | ated based on a | ounty's |
| Halifax. | 10,751,519.07 | 12,632,720.34 | 117.50\% | Swain... | 2,763,652.72 | 3,216,123.81 | 116.37\% | share of state population; | county allocated | ounts are then | luced |
| Harnett. | 13,547,507.10 | 20,156,092.38 | 148.78\% | Transylvania. | 7,214,083.94 | 7,981,746.33 | 110.64\% | by administrative costs ret | ained by the State | and adjusted by | adjustment |
| Haywood.... | 15,762,740.62 | 15,939,535.27 | 101.12\% | Tyrrell.......... | 410,703.69 | 742,413.79 | 180.77\% | according to specia | rovisions speci | in G.S. 105-48 |  |
| Henderson.... | 23,906,334.48 | 25,735,574.64 | 107.65\% | Union............. | 34,986,408.33 | 40,687,949.71 | 116.30\% | (Refer to Table 59B for details | of distribution of | Article 44 procee |  |

