TABLE 56. SUMMARY OF LOCAL SALES AND USE TAX COLLECTIONS AND DISTRIBUTABLE SHARES BY COUNTY
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2003-2004

| County | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { net } \\ \text { collections } \\ \text { Articles } \\ \text { 39, 40, 42, 44 } \\ {[\$]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> net <br> distributable <br> proceeds <br> Articles <br> 39, 40, 42, 44 <br> [\$] | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { net } \\ \text { distributable } \\ \text { proceeds as \% } \\ \text { of total } \\ \text { net collections } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | County | Total net collections Articles 39, 40, 42, 44 [\$] | Total <br> net <br> distributable <br> proceeds <br> Articles <br> 39, 40, 42, 44 <br> [\$] | Total net distributable proceeds as \% of total net collections | County | Total net collections Articles 39, 40, 42, 44 [\$] | Total net distributable proceeds Articles 39, 40, 42, 44 [\$] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { net } \\ \text { distributable } \\ \text { proceeds as \% } \\ \text { of total } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alamance. | 32,331,664.33 | 33,269,735.62 | 102.90\% | Hertford. | 4,401,637.46 | 5,192,482.21 | 117.97\% | Va | 9,311,390.91 | 10,368,909.20 | 111.36\% |
| Alexander | 3,522,309.18 | 6,025,193.45 | 171.06\% | Hoke | 2,473,441.83 | 5,552,075.75 | 224.47\% | Wake | 235,298,744.29 | 196,510,537.12 | 83.52\% |
| Alleghany. | 1,699,056.67 | 2,255,271.69 | 132.74\% | Hyde | 1,140,307.08 | 1,277,123.02 | 112.00\% | Warr | 1,451,235.09 | 3,144,013.62 | 216.64\% |
| Anson.. | 2,620,525.64 | 4,466,018.04 | 170.42\% | Iredel | 37,466,234.66 | 34,457,108.19 | 91.97\% | Washingto | 1,650,714.22 | 2,593,755.55 | 157.13\% |
| Ashe.. | 4,477,931.82 | 5,212,436.16 | 116.40\% | Jackso | 8,206,547.29 | 8,522,310.28 | 103.85\% | Wataug | 15,671,618.44 | 13,354,435.57 | 85.21\% |
| Avery. | 4,347,075.34 | 4,668,056.40 | 107.38\% | John | 26,046,558.34 | 29,126,209.67 | 111.82\% | Wayn | 21,997,793.98 | 24,505,413.44 | 111.40\% |
| Beaufort. | 9,185,369.17 | 10,604,804.05 | 115.45\% | Jones. | 603,542.20 | 1,441,165.48 | 238.78\% | Wilkes | 12,477,584.60 | 14,629,872.95 | 117.25\% |
| Bertie. | 1,465,679.40 | 3,110,292.43 | 212.21\% | Lee | 13,211,627.15 | 12,504,369.37 | 94.65\% | Wilson | 17,237,908.44 | 17,734,976.20 | 102.88\% |
| Bladen | 3,816,158.49 | 6,136,844.50 | 160.81\% | Lenoi | 11,744,999.71 | 12,341,525.63 | 105.08\% | Yadkin | 4,145,358.72 | 6,668,333.45 | 160.86\% |
| Brunswick | 23,369,098.74 | 23,001,188.31 | 98.43\% | Lincol | 11,246,676.98 | 13,631,428.30 | 121.20\% | Yancey | 2,551,110.80 | 3,531,453.27 | 138.43\% |
| Buncomb | 71,040,056.96 | 62,834,778.93 | 88.45\% | Maco | 9,754,845.12 | 8,543,561.94 | 87.58\% | Totals | 2,063,930,286.98 | 2,049,941,471.10 | 99.32\% |
| Burke | 13,413,347.98 | 18,085,809.60 | 134.83\% | Madison | 1,696,358.96 | 3,223,295.75 | 190.01\% | Less: |  |  |  |
| Cabarru | 40,428,576.73 | 37,878,369.68 | 93.69\% | Martin | 4,135,294.45 | 5,263,391.98 | 127.28\% | administrative cos | 9,530,472.98 |  | 0.46\% |
| Caldwell. | 12,218,543.25 | 16,033,240.48 | 131.22\% | McDowell | 6,169,698.40 | 8,909,878.56 | 144.41\% | Property Tax Commission. | 4,458,342.90 |  | 0.22\% |
| Camden. | 1,088,516.72 | 1,365,526.84 | 125.45\% | Mecklenburg. | 284,956,987.47 | 220,841,923.02 | 77.50\% | Distributable to units. | 2,049,941,471.10 | 2,049,941,471.10 | 100.00\% |
| Carteret. | 20,114,307.06 | 18,497,136.46 | 91.96\% | Mitchell | 3,100,283.97 | 3,430,172.54 | 110.64\% | These amounts do not agree with the actual receipts of the local governments in fiscal year 2003-04 due to the lag in the collection/distribution pattern. Certain administrative costs must be deducted from net collections in determining the amount of distributable proceeds to local governments. The total amount of costs associated with local sales and use taxes collected by the Department of Revenue during the period July 1, 2003 through June $\mathbf{3 0}$, 2004 was $\$ 13,988,815.88$. <br> Article 39 proceeds are allocated to counties on a point-of-sale basis. (Refer to Table 58 for details of distribution of Article 39 proceeds.) |  |  |  |
| Caswell. | 1,107,437.42 | 3,327,848.86 | 300.50\% | Montgomery. | 3,398,624.95 | 5,001,252.80 | 147.16\% |  |  |  |  |
| Catawba. | 42,213,938.31 | 38,818,372.36 | 91.96\% | Moore | 18,982,006.39 | 19,974,340.73 | 105.23\% |  |  |  |  |
| Chatham | 7,434,031.81 | 10,322,582.47 | 138.86\% | Nash. | 22,074,599.76 | 21,285,348.18 | 96.42\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cherokee. | 6,338,760.37 | 6,201,081.90 | 97.83\% | New Hanover. | 66,791,294.70 | 54,624,885.74 | 81.78\% |  |  |  |  |
| Chowan. | 2,584,296.35 | 3,227,666.32 | 124.90\% | Northampton. | 1,424,086.29 | 3,399,242.78 | 238.70\% |  |  |  |  |
| Clay... | 1,606,907.77 | 1,881,650.36 | 117.10\% | Onslow. | 30,235,858.95 | 34,524,155.74 | 114.18\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cleveland. | 16,950,997.71 | 20,665,340.47 | 121.91\% | Orange | 23,270,002.59 | 28,769,056.10 | 123.63\% |  |  |  |  |
| Columbus. | 7,842,441.66 | 9,447,308.98 | 120.46\% | Pamlico | 1,438,784.71 | 2,311,433.63 | 160.65\% |  |  |  |  |
| Craven.. | 18,875,012.26 | 21,199,770.07 | 112.32\% | Pasquotank... | 9,763,706.90 | 9,274,348.54 | 94.99\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cumberland.. | 66,119,540.79 | 69,868,687.86 | 105.67\% | Pender | 5,284,119.10 | 7,946,904.08 | 150.39\% |  |  |  |  |
| Currituck. | 7,844,798.34 | 6,171,049.40 | 78.66\% | Perquimans | 1,086,166.94 | 2,073,334.44 | 190.89\% | Articles 40 and 42 1/2\% proceeds are allocated to counties based on a county's share of state population. County allocated amounts are then reduced by administrative costs retained by the State and adjusted by an adjustment factor according to special provisions specified in G.S. 105-486(b). |  |  |  |
| Dare. | 28,651,525.94 | 20,060,013.15 | 70.01\% | Person | 6,130,528.43 | 7,620,413.70 | 124.30\% |  |  |  |  |
| Davidso | 22,872,299.38 | 29,780,120.28 | 130.20\% | Pitt. | 34,342,976.35 | 35,264,293.00 | 102.68\% |  |  |  |  |
| Davie. | 4,580,353.38 | 6,530,705.35 | 142.58\% | Polk. | 2,043,097.12 | 3,373,047.30 | 165.09\% |  |  |  |  |
| Duplin... | 6,267,899.55 | 9,549,072.09 | 152.35\% | Randolph. | 20,580,528.04 | 26,707,300.67 | 129.77\% | Article 42 1/2\% net allocated collections have been reduced for expenses associated with the Property Tax Commission, et al. (G.S. 105-501) and are therefore not equal to Article 40 1/2\% net allocated collections. (Refer to Table 59 for details of distribution of Article 40 proceeds and to Table 60 for details of distribution of Article 42 proceeds.) |  |  |  |
| Durham. | 81,819,306.20 | 73,224,432.80 | 89.50\% | Richmond. | 7,502,351.55 | 10,065,116.22 | 134.16\% |  |  |  |  |
| Edgecombe... | 8,761,643.15 | 11,347,918.31 | 129.52\% | Robeson | 17,913,040.49 | 25,098,354.66 | 140.11\% |  |  |  |  |
| Forsyth... | 96,029,680.80 | 84,914,038.64 | 88.42\% | Rockingham.. | 13,361,656.85 | 18,216,115.34 | 136.33\% |  |  |  |  |
| Franklin.. | 5,989,575.17 | 9,016,114.65 | 150.53\% | Rowan.. | 21,638,449.72 | 25,967,358.76 | 120.01\% |  |  |  |  |
| Gaston. | 38,164,797.37 | 43,581,402.89 | 114.19\% | Rutherfor | 10,210,082.63 | 12,821,891.14 | 125.58\% | Article 44 1/2\% proceeds are allocated to counties, incorporating both the point-of-sale and per capita methods: <br> (1) one-half (1/2) of the Article 44 net tax collected in a county is allocated on a point-of-sale (origin) basis. |  |  |  |
| Gates | 618,737.96 | 1,589,552.45 | 256.90\% | Sampson. | 8,195,158.63 | 11,456,096.65 | 139.79\% |  |  |  |  |
| Grahan | 1,140,135.24 | 1,553,357.72 | 136.24\% | Scotland | 6,588,523.25 | 7,649,767.12 | 116.11\% |  |  |  |  |
| Granville. | 6,387,823.84 | 9,771,363.20 | 152.97\% | Stanly... | 10,759,928.51 | 12,553,521.54 | 116.67\% |  |  |  |  |
| Greene.. | 1,230,109.00 | 2,912,146.00 | 236.74\% | Stokes. | 3,887,785.58 | 7,633,973.43 | 196.36\% |  |  |  |  |
| Guilford. | 135,418,096.69 | 116,750,646.34 | 86.21\% | Surry. | 15,798,263.88 | 17,295,657.09 | 109.48\% | (2) one-half (1/2) of the | rticle 44 net tax is | allocated based on | a county's |
| Halifax. | 8,932,875.07 | 11,618,346.93 | 130.06\% | Swain. | 1,896,601.57 | 2,637,867.39 | 139.08\% | of state popula | on; county alloc | d amounts are | reduced |
| Harnett. | 11,674,449.42 | 17,612,285.37 | 150.86\% | Transylvania. | 6,449,759.25 | 7,229,244.50 | 112.09\% | dministrative co | retained by the | te and adjust | an adjustment |
| Haywood.... | 13,322,599.93 | 13,618,076.73 | 102.22\% | Tyrrell... | 474,367.81 | 748,712.78 | 157.83\% | according to s | al provisions | fied in G.S. 1 | (b). |
| Henderson. | 21,404,013.15 | 22,464,855.57 | 104.96\% | Union | 26,937,133.97 | 30,648,204.83 | 113.78\% | (Refer to Table 61 for det | ails of distributio | of Article 44 proc | eeds.) |

Refer to Table 57 for details of distribution of $\mathbf{2 \%}$ local tax proceeds collected on food for home consumption.

