

TABLE 38. A COUNTY COMPARISON OF STATE SALES AND USE TAX GROSS COLLECTIONS FOR 1995-1996 AND 2009-2010
[RANK, CONTRIBUTION PERCENTAGE, AND OVERALL GROWTH]

Fiscal year 1995-1996					Fiscal year 2009-2010 ^R								
County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	% change 10/96	County	Rank	% of total	% change 10/96
Alamance.....	11	1.44%	Johnston.....	22	0.88%	Alamance.....	15	1.44%	82.5%	Johnston.....	16	1.19%	146.3%
Alexander.....	76	0.14%	Jones.....	97	0.03%	Alexander.....	75	0.14%	73.1%	Jones.....	98	0.03%	91.2%
Alleghany.....	85	0.07%	Lee.....	38	0.59%	Alleghany.....	86	0.06%	69.7%	Lee.....	38	0.53%	63.8%
Anson.....	77	0.13%	Lenoir.....	33	0.74%	Anson.....	79	0.11%	54.4%	Lenoir.....	40	0.49%	20.8%
Ashe.....	73	0.15%	Lincoln.....	47	0.42%	Ashe.....	69	0.18%	122.2%	Lincoln.....	42	0.47%	103.6%
Avery.....	70	0.17%	Macon.....	52	0.36%	Avery.....	69	0.18%	92.9%	Macon.....	50	0.37%	85.2%
Beaufort.....	48	0.42%	Madison.....	88	0.06%	Beaufort.....	46	0.39%	69.8%	Madison.....	84	0.07%	136.9%
Bertie.....	90	0.05%	Martin.....	64	0.24%	Bertie.....	86	0.06%	109.3%	Martin.....	68	0.19%	43.3%
Bladen.....	67	0.19%	McDowell.....	57	0.26%	Bladen.....	72	0.17%	62.2%	McDowell.....	59	0.26%	80.2%
Brunswick.....	34	0.71%	Mecklenburg....	1	12.15%	Brunswick.....	20	0.99%	154.2%	Mecklenburg....	1	14.08%	111.6%
Buncombe.....	6	3.01%	Mitchell.....	78	0.12%	Buncombe.....	7	3.12%	89.8%	Mitchell.....	77	0.12%	94.8%
Burke.....	36	0.60%	Montgomery....	75	0.15%	Burke.....	39	0.50%	50.9%	Montgomery....	76	0.13%	60.9%
Cabarrus.....	14	1.16%	Moore.....	31	0.76%	Cabarrus.....	9	2.13%	235.6%	Moore.....	23	0.90%	115.3%
Caldwell.....	41	0.53%	Nash.....	15	1.14%	Caldwell.....	43	0.46%	60.4%	Nash.....	21	0.92%	47.2%
Camden.....	100	0.02%	New Hanover....	8	2.57%	Camden.....	92	0.05%	449.9%	New Hanover....	8	2.76%	95.7%
Carteret.....	27	0.79%	Northampton...	91	0.05%	Carteret.....	27	0.84%	93.3%	Northampton...	86	0.06%	127.5%
Caswell.....	92	0.05%	Onslow.....	17	1.03%	Caswell.....	92	0.05%	84.2%	Onslow.....	12	1.60%	184.4%
Catawba.....	9	1.92%	Orange.....	19	0.99%	Catawba.....	10	1.76%	67.3%	Orange.....	19	1.04%	92.3%
Chatham.....	59	0.26%	Pamlico.....	89	0.05%	Chatham.....	51	0.36%	158.7%	Pamlico.....	86	0.06%	111.9%
Cherokee.....	60	0.25%	Pasquotank.....	50	0.39%	Cherokee.....	66	0.23%	64.9%	Pasquotank.....	48	0.38%	79.6%
Chowan.....	80	0.10%	Pender.....	71	0.17%	Chowan.....	80	0.10%	70.3%	Pender.....	61	0.25%	165.5%
Clay.....	94	0.04%	Perquimans.....	95	0.04%	Clay.....	86	0.06%	163.7%	Perquimans.....	96	0.04%	93.8%
Cleveland.....	23	0.87%	Person.....	61	0.25%	Cleveland.....	34	0.62%	30.4%	Person.....	61	0.25%	84.4%
Columbus.....	46	0.43%	Pitt.....	12	1.43%	Columbus.....	54	0.32%	36.2%	Pitt.....	11	1.74%	122.3%
Craven.....	29	0.77%	Polk.....	82	0.08%	Craven.....	24	0.89%	110.1%	Polk.....	83	0.08%	81.7%
Cumberland....	7	2.99%	Randolph.....	25	0.86%	Cumberland....	6	3.44%	109.9%	Randolph.....	29	0.81%	71.8%
Currituck.....	74	0.15%	Richmond.....	53	0.36%	Currituck.....	55	0.31%	295.4%	Richmond.....	56	0.30%	52.2%
Dare.....	24	0.86%	Robeson.....	26	0.84%	Dare.....	18	1.05%	121.3%	Robeson.....	31	0.79%	73.3%
Davidson.....	18	1.00%	Rockingham....	35	0.63%	Davidson.....	26	0.86%	57.6%	Rockingham....	35	0.56%	61.1%
Davie.....	68	0.18%	Rowan.....	21	0.96%	Davie.....	67	0.22%	124.1%	Rowan.....	25	0.87%	64.2%
Duplin.....	56	0.28%	Rutherford.....	44	0.49%	Duplin.....	58	0.28%	85.4%	Rutherford.....	41	0.48%	78.4%
Durham.....	5	3.72%	Sampson.....	51	0.37%	Durham.....	4	4.27%	109.3%	Sampson.....	52	0.35%	74.6%
Edgecombe.....	55	0.36%	Scotland.....	54	0.36%	Edgecombe.....	57	0.29%	50.8%	Scotland.....	59	0.26%	32.3%
Forsyth.....	4	4.60%	Stanly.....	43	0.51%	Forsyth.....	5	3.96%	57.2%	Stanly.....	45	0.43%	53.7%
Franklin.....	66	0.20%	Stokes.....	72	0.16%	Franklin.....	61	0.25%	133.8%	Stokes.....	74	0.15%	73.4%
Gaston.....	10	1.78%	Surry.....	32	0.75%	Gaston.....	14	1.52%	55.8%	Surry.....	32	0.72%	76.8%
Gates.....	98	0.03%	Swain.....	84	0.07%	Gates.....	99	0.02%	46.0%	Swain.....	80	0.10%	141.3%
Graham.....	93	0.04%	Transylvania....	62	0.25%	Graham.....	96	0.04%	83.0%	Transylvania....	65	0.24%	75.9%
Granville.....	65	0.24%	Tyrrell.....	99	0.02%	Granville.....	61	0.25%	92.1%	Tyrrell.....	99	0.02%	81.5%
Greene.....	86	0.06%	Union.....	20	0.97%	Greene.....	92	0.05%	49.9%	Union.....	17	1.14%	114.0%
Guilford.....	3	6.86%	Vance.....	49	0.41%	Guilford.....	3	5.34%	42.0%	Vance.....	48	0.38%	71.5%
Halifax.....	45	0.48%	Wake.....	2	9.39%	Halifax.....	46	0.39%	47.3%	Wake.....	2	10.54%	104.9%
Harnett.....	42	0.52%	Warren.....	87	0.06%	Harnett.....	37	0.54%	90.6%	Warren.....	86	0.06%	84.5%
Haywood.....	39	0.58%	Washington....	83	0.08%	Haywood.....	36	0.55%	72.0%	Washington....	84	0.07%	56.8%
Henderson.....	30	0.77%	Watauga.....	37	0.59%	Henderson.....	28	0.82%	94.5%	Watauga.....	33	0.64%	96.0%
Hertford.....	58	0.26%	Wayne.....	16	1.11%	Hertford.....	72	0.17%	17.7%	Wayne.....	22	0.91%	49.4%
Hoke.....	81	0.09%	Wilkes.....	40	0.53%	Hoke.....	77	0.12%	151.9%	Wilkes.....	44	0.44%	51.7%
Hyde.....	96	0.04%	Wilson.....	28	0.78%	Hyde.....	92	0.05%	139.3%	Wilson.....	30	0.80%	85.3%
Iredell.....	13	1.22%	Yadkin.....	69	0.18%	Iredell.....	13	1.56%	133.8%	Yadkin.....	69	0.18%	83.6%
Jackson.....	63	0.25%	Yancey.....	79	0.11%	Jackson.....	53	0.34%	151.2%	Yancey.....	80	0.10%	64.1%
			Unallocated.....	1	12.41%					Unallocated.....	3	8.76%	28.9%
			Statewide totals	-	100.00%					Statewide totals	-	100.00%	82.6%

Computations and rankings exclude the following taxes: 8% highway use tax-motor vehicle leasing, certain use tax, and utility services. The ranking shown for the Unallocated category merely indicates its relative placement in comparison with the 100 counties and does not affect the county rankings.

^RRevised in accordance with N.C. Department of Revenue, Policy Analysis and Statistics Division. Table 3. State Sales And Use Tax: Gross Collections And Taxable Sales By County Summary For Fiscal Year 2009-2010, September 28, 2011 release.