

TABLE 38. A COUNTY COMPARISON OF STATE SALES AND USE TAX GROSS COLLECTIONS FOR 1992-1993 AND 2006-2007

[RANK, CONTRIBUTION PERCENTAGE, AND OVERALL GROWTH]

Fiscal year 1992-1993						Fiscal year 2006-2007							
County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	% change 07/93	County	Rank	% of total	% change 07/93
Alamance.....	11	1.44%	Johnston.....	24	0.86%	Alamance.....	14	1.30%	92.3%	Johnston.....	18	1.08%	165.4%
Alexander.....	73	0.16%	Jones.....	93	0.05%	Alexander.....	77	0.11%	51.5%	Jones.....	98	0.02%	-2.9%
Alleghany.....	85	0.07%	Lee.....	36	0.64%	Alleghany.....	81	0.07%	97.9%	Lee.....	35	0.51%	68.7%
Anson.....	76	0.14%	Lenoir.....	31	0.79%	Anson.....	79	0.09%	39.4%	Lenoir.....	38	0.47%	25.6%
Ashe.....	70	0.17%	Lincoln.....	51	0.42%	Ashe.....	70	0.16%	106.8%	Lincoln.....	41	0.43%	118.4%
Avery.....	70	0.17%	Macon.....	54	0.36%	Avery.....	68	0.17%	113.3%	Macon.....	43	0.41%	137.8%
Beaufort.....	47	0.45%	Madison.....	85	0.07%	Beaufort.....	48	0.34%	59.5%	Madison.....	85	0.05%	64.3%
Bertie.....	85	0.07%	Martin.....	58	0.29%	Bertie.....	90	0.04%	9.3%	Martin.....	73	0.13%	-4.5%
Bladen.....	67	0.20%	McDowell.....	59	0.28%	Bladen.....	74	0.12%	26.1%	McDowell.....	62	0.23%	68.6%
Brunswick.....	35	0.66%	Mecklenburg....	1	11.46%	Brunswick.....	20	0.91%	189.8%	Mecklenburg....	1	13.42%	148.3%
Buncombe.....	7	3.05%	Mitchell.....	76	0.14%	Buncombe.....	6	3.20%	122.5%	Mitchell.....	74	0.12%	77.2%
Burke.....	38	0.60%	Montgomery....	75	0.15%	Burke.....	42	0.42%	46.7%	Montgomery....	74	0.12%	63.0%
Cabarrus.....	16	1.10%	Moore.....	33	0.78%	Cabarrus.....	9	1.79%	245.7%	Moore.....	26	0.78%	113.8%
Caldwell.....	40	0.58%	Nash.....	13	1.17%	Caldwell.....	43	0.41%	51.0%	Nash.....	23	0.85%	54.0%
Camden.....	99	0.02%	New Hanover....	8	2.54%	Camden.....	96	0.03%	331.5%	New Hanover....	7	2.85%	138.0%
Carteret.....	31	0.79%	Northampton....	89	0.06%	Carteret.....	25	0.80%	114.0%	Northampton....	90	0.04%	37.2%
Caswell.....	93	0.05%	Onslow.....	20	1.02%	Caswell.....	96	0.03%	27.2%	Onslow.....	15	1.18%	143.9%
Catawba.....	9	1.93%	Orange.....	19	1.08%	Catawba.....	10	1.75%	92.6%	Orange.....	20	0.91%	78.4%
Chatham.....	61	0.26%	Pamlico.....	89	0.06%	Chatham.....	55	0.29%	131.0%	Pamlico.....	85	0.05%	70.9%
Cherokee.....	65	0.24%	Pasquotank.....	47	0.45%	Cherokee.....	56	0.28%	142.4%	Pasquotank.....	46	0.38%	80.9%
Chowan.....	78	0.12%	Pender.....	73	0.16%	Chowan.....	80	0.08%	46.2%	Pender.....	62	0.23%	205.1%
Clay.....	95	0.04%	Perquimans.....	97	0.03%	Clay.....	85	0.05%	159.6%	Perquimans.....	90	0.04%	159.0%
Cleveland.....	21	0.90%	Person.....	63	0.25%	Cleveland.....	34	0.61%	45.3%	Person.....	59	0.24%	104.7%
Columbus.....	46	0.49%	Pitt.....	12	1.42%	Columbus.....	52	0.30%	30.0%	Pitt.....	13	1.40%	109.9%
Craven.....	24	0.86%	Polk.....	83	0.08%	Craven.....	27	0.75%	84.8%	Polk.....	81	0.07%	72.3%
Cumberland....	6	3.25%	Randolph.....	23	0.87%	Cumberland....	8	2.60%	69.9%	Randolph.....	29	0.71%	73.3%
Currituck.....	80	0.11%	Richmond.....	51	0.42%	Currituck.....	65	0.22%	305.5%	Richmond.....	59	0.24%	20.8%
Dare.....	27	0.84%	Robeson.....	24	0.86%	Dare.....	17	1.15%	189.0%	Robeson.....	31	0.68%	67.9%
Davidson.....	18	1.09%	Rockingham....	34	0.73%	Davidson.....	22	0.88%	71.3%	Rockingham....	39	0.46%	34.0%
Davie.....	66	0.21%	Rowan.....	16	1.10%	Davie.....	67	0.20%	101.6%	Rowan.....	28	0.73%	40.8%
Duplin.....	57	0.30%	Rutherford.....	41	0.57%	Duplin.....	62	0.23%	59.1%	Rutherford.....	46	0.38%	40.8%
Durham.....	5	3.51%	Sampson.....	53	0.37%	Durham.....	5	3.61%	118.4%	Sampson.....	52	0.30%	76.8%
Edgecombe.....	49	0.44%	Scotland.....	55	0.34%	Edgecombe.....	57	0.27%	27.1%	Scotland.....	59	0.24%	48.6%
Forsyth.....	4	4.85%	Stanly.....	45	0.53%	Forsyth.....	4	4.00%	74.8%	Stanly.....	40	0.44%	77.7%
Franklin.....	69	0.18%	Stokes.....	70	0.17%	Franklin.....	52	0.30%	260.8%	Stokes.....	71	0.15%	82.4%
Gaston.....	10	1.84%	Surry.....	30	0.81%	Gaston.....	12	1.42%	63.4%	Surry.....	33	0.63%	65.7%
Gates.....	97	0.03%	Swain.....	83	0.08%	Gates.....	99	0.01%	-8.7%	Swain.....	81	0.07%	88.9%
Graham.....	89	0.06%	Transylvania....	61	0.26%	Graham.....	90	0.04%	56.8%	Transylvania....	57	0.27%	116.0%
Granville.....	60	0.27%	Tyrrell.....	99	0.02%	Granville.....	66	0.21%	63.2%	Tyrrell.....	99	0.01%	50.0%
Greene.....	89	0.06%	Union.....	22	0.88%	Greene.....	90	0.04%	37.5%	Union.....	16	1.16%	178.1%
Guilford.....	3	6.96%	Vance.....	50	0.43%	Guilford.....	3	5.70%	73.7%	Vance.....	50	0.33%	62.3%
Halifax.....	43	0.54%	Wake.....	2	9.01%	Halifax.....	48	0.34%	32.1%	Wake.....	2	10.75%	153.0%
Harnett.....	43	0.54%	Warren.....	85	0.07%	Harnett.....	37	0.48%	87.6%	Warren.....	85	0.05%	50.1%
Haywood.....	37	0.61%	Washington....	81	0.09%	Haywood.....	35	0.51%	77.7%	Washington....	85	0.05%	6.5%
Henderson.....	28	0.82%	Watauga.....	38	0.60%	Henderson.....	24	0.82%	111.1%	Watauga.....	32	0.64%	125.4%
Hertford.....	63	0.25%	Wayne.....	15	1.13%	Hertford.....	68	0.17%	40.9%	Wayne.....	19	0.94%	76.5%
Hoke.....	81	0.09%	Wilkes.....	42	0.56%	Hoke.....	81	0.07%	62.4%	Wilkes.....	43	0.41%	54.6%
Hyde.....	95	0.04%	Wilson.....	28	0.82%	Hyde.....	90	0.04%	123.2%	Wilson.....	29	0.71%	85.0%
Iredell.....	13	1.17%	Yadkin.....	68	0.19%	Iredell.....	11	1.64%	197.0%	Yadkin.....	71	0.15%	71.0%
Jackson.....	56	0.33%	Yancey.....	78	0.12%	Jackson.....	51	0.32%	105.8%	Yancey.....	77	0.11%	103.4%
			Unallocated.....	2	11.36%					Unallocated.....	1	14.03%	162.0%
			Statewide totals	-	100.00%					Statewide totals	-	100.00%	112.2%

Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.

Computations and rankings exclude the following taxes: 8% highway use tax-motor vehicle leasing, certain use tax, and utility services. The ranking shown for the Unallocated category merely indicates its relative placement in comparison with the 100 counties and does not affect the county rankings.