

TABLE 34. A COUNTY COMPARISON OF STATE GROSS RETAIL SALES FOR 1988-89 AND 2002-03  
 [ RANK, CONTRIBUTION PERCENTAGE, AND OVERALL GROWTH ]

Fiscal year 1988-89						Fiscal year 2002-03							
County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	Overall growth 03/89	County	Rank	% of total	Overall growth 03/89
Alamance.....	11	1.61%	Johnston.....	26	0.99%	Alamance.....	14	1.21%	53.0%	Johnston.....	18	0.99%	104.2%
Alexander.....	74	0.17%	Jones.....	94	0.05%	Alexander.....	73	0.16%	94.7%	Jones.....	99	0.03%	-3.7%
Alleghany.....	90	0.07%	Lee.....	36	0.71%	Alleghany.....	90	0.05%	44.7%	Lee.....	37	0.51%	47.2%
Anson.....	76	0.16%	Lenoir.....	30	0.80%	Anson.....	78	0.12%	48.6%	Lenoir.....	34	0.65%	66.5%
Ashe.....	71	0.20%	Lincoln.....	53	0.42%	Ashe.....	71	0.17%	77.1%	Lincoln.....	45	0.44%	111.7%
Avery.....	75	0.17%	Macon.....	59	0.30%	Avery.....	76	0.15%	77.2%	Macon.....	52	0.34%	131.6%
Beaufort.....	45	0.53%	Madison.....	89	0.07%	Beaufort.....	46	0.39%	49.3%	Madison.....	84	0.07%	102.1%
Bertie.....	82	0.10%	Martin.....	64	0.24%	Bertie.....	88	0.06%	14.6%	Martin.....	75	0.15%	22.1%
Bladen.....	63	0.25%	McDowell.....	56	0.32%	Bladen.....	63	0.22%	83.4%	McDowell.....	54	0.29%	83.1%
Brunswick.....	47	0.50%	Mecklenburg....	1	13.61%	Brunswick.....	30	0.72%	192.4%	Mecklenburg....	1	11.73%	75.6%
Buncombe.....	6	2.78%	Mitchell.....	73	0.17%	Buncombe.....	6	2.59%	89.4%	Mitchell.....	77	0.13%	56.6%
Burke.....	37	0.70%	Montgomery.....	70	0.21%	Burke.....	35	0.54%	59.2%	Montgomery.....	70	0.19%	82.3%
Cabarrus.....	20	1.06%	Moore.....	31	0.79%	Cabarrus.....	10	1.64%	215.7%	Moore.....	28	0.73%	88.6%
Caldwell.....	35	0.72%	Nash.....	13	1.37%	Caldwell.....	40	0.50%	41.2%	Nash.....	17	1.01%	49.7%
Camden.....	100	0.02%	New Hanover....	8	2.43%	Camden.....	96	0.03%	207.3%	New Hanover....	7	2.44%	104.9%
Carteret.....	34	0.72%	Northampton....	87	0.09%	Carteret.....	32	0.67%	87.6%	Northampton....	92	0.04%	-6.2%
Caswell.....	92	0.06%	Onslow.....	23	1.01%	Caswell.....	89	0.05%	89.4%	Onslow.....	21	0.90%	80.5%
Catawba.....	10	2.15%	Orange.....	25	0.99%	Catawba.....	9	1.77%	67.8%	Orange.....	16	1.02%	109.0%
Chatham.....	58	0.30%	Pamlico.....	91	0.07%	Chatham.....	56	0.28%	95.4%	Pamlico.....	91	0.05%	36.6%
Cherokee.....	67	0.22%	Pasquotank.....	50	0.46%	Cherokee.....	55	0.29%	160.4%	Pasquotank.....	50	0.37%	61.6%
Chowan.....	80	0.13%	Pender.....	77	0.15%	Chowan.....	79	0.09%	43.1%	Pender.....	68	0.20%	162.1%
Clay.....	96	0.05%	Perquimans.....	93	0.06%	Clay.....	80	0.09%	241.4%	Perquimans.....	95	0.04%	32.3%
Cleveland.....	19	1.11%	Person.....	60	0.28%	Cleveland.....	29	0.72%	32.6%	Person.....	59	0.26%	89.0%
Columbus.....	48	0.49%	Pitt.....	12	1.52%	Columbus.....	51	0.34%	42.2%	Pitt.....	12	1.44%	93.2%
Craven.....	29	0.84%	Polk.....	88	0.09%	Craven.....	27	0.74%	78.5%	Polk.....	82	0.08%	96.4%
Cumberland....	5	2.93%	Randolph.....	27	0.96%	Cumberland....	8	2.27%	57.9%	Randolph.....	26	0.78%	65.4%
Currituck.....	78	0.15%	Richmond.....	51	0.46%	Currituck.....	65	0.21%	188.3%	Richmond.....	58	0.27%	18.2%
Dare.....	38	0.66%	Robeson.....	21	1.03%	Dare.....	20	0.93%	184.4%	Robeson.....	33	0.66%	30.6%
Davidson.....	16	1.22%	Rockingham....	33	0.77%	Davidson.....	19	0.96%	61.2%	Rockingham....	39	0.50%	32.5%
Davie.....	62	0.26%	Rowan.....	17	1.19%	Davie.....	66	0.20%	53.9%	Rowan.....	24	0.84%	43.4%
Duplin.....	55	0.33%	Rutherford.....	32	0.78%	Duplin.....	61	0.25%	54.6%	Rutherford.....	49	0.38%	0.0%
Durham.....	7	2.55%	Sampson.....	52	0.44%	Durham.....	5	3.47%	177.3%	Sampson.....	47	0.39%	79.0%
Edgecombe.....	44	0.56%	Scotland.....	54	0.35%	Edgecombe.....	53	0.30%	9.0%	Scotland.....	62	0.24%	41.3%
Forsyth.....	4	5.24%	Stanly.....	40	0.61%	Forsyth.....	4	4.29%	66.6%	Stanly.....	42	0.47%	55.3%
Franklin.....	66	0.23%	Stokes.....	72	0.18%	Franklin.....	64	0.22%	94.6%	Stokes.....	74	0.16%	78.3%
Gaston.....	9	2.21%	Surry.....	28	0.92%	Gaston.....	11	1.63%	49.6%	Surry.....	31	0.68%	51.7%
Gates.....	95	0.05%	Swain.....	85	0.09%	Gates.....	98	0.03%	8.8%	Swain.....	86	0.06%	28.5%
Graham.....	97	0.05%	Transylvania....	69	0.22%	Graham.....	97	0.03%	50.5%	Transylvania....	67	0.20%	84.7%
Granville.....	61	0.27%	Tyrrell.....	99	0.02%	Granville.....	57	0.28%	114.2%	Tyrrell.....	100	0.01%	14.2%
Greene.....	84	0.09%	Union.....	22	1.03%	Greene.....	93	0.04%	-15.1%	Union.....	15	1.07%	112.0%
Guilford.....	3	8.08%	Vance.....	49	0.48%	Guilford.....	3	6.40%	61.5%	Vance.....	48	0.39%	65.5%
Halifax.....	41	0.60%	Wake.....	2	8.23%	Halifax.....	44	0.44%	49.9%	Wake.....	2	9.35%	131.3%
Harnett.....	43	0.58%	Warren.....	86	0.09%	Harnett.....	43	0.45%	57.6%	Warren.....	87	0.06%	34.9%
Haywood.....	39	0.65%	Washington.....	79	0.13%	Haywood.....	41	0.49%	53.3%	Washington.....	85	0.07%	10.8%
Henderson.....	24	1.00%	Watauga.....	46	0.53%	Henderson.....	22	0.86%	75.6%	Watauga.....	38	0.51%	95.4%
Hertford.....	57	0.30%	Wayne.....	15	1.29%	Hertford.....	69	0.20%	32.4%	Wayne.....	23	0.86%	35.8%
Hoke.....	83	0.10%	Wilkes.....	42	0.60%	Hoke.....	81	0.08%	78.6%	Wilkes.....	36	0.54%	84.6%
Hyde.....	98	0.04%	Wilson.....	18	1.16%	Hyde.....	94	0.04%	77.2%	Wilson.....	25	0.80%	40.1%
Iredell.....	14	1.32%	Yadkin.....	68	0.22%	Iredell.....	13	1.37%	111.6%	Yadkin.....	72	0.17%	55.1%
Jackson.....	65	0.24%	Yancey.....	81	0.10%	Jackson.....	60	0.26%	113.8%	Yancey.....	83	0.07%	46.0%
			Unallocated.....	[4]	6.07%				Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.	Unallocated.....	[1]	17.11%	473.9%
			Statewide totals	-----	100.00%					Statewide totals	-----	100.00%	103.7%

Percentage of total computations exclude the following taxes: 8% highway use tax-motor vehicle leasing, certain use tax, and utility services. *The ranking shown for the Unallocated category merely indicates its relative placement in comparison with the 100 counties and does not affect the county rankings.*