STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

BEFORE THE

COUNTY OF WAKE IN THE MATTER OF:

SECRETARY OF REVENUE

The Proposed Assessments of Mecklenburg County Public Transportation Sales Tax for the periods August 1, 1999, through October 31, 1999, January 1, 2000 through April 30, 2000, and))) <u>FINAL DECISION</u>) Docket No. 2004-360
September 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001 by the Secretary of Revenue)
VS.))
[Taxpayer]))

This matter was reviewed by the Assistant Secretary of Revenue, Eugene J. Cella, in the City of Raleigh, upon application for hearing by [Taxpayer], President of [company] ("Taxpayer"). The Taxpayer waived his right to appear in person for the hearing, and the matter of the administrative tax hearing was handled by written communications between the Assistant Secretary and the Taxpayer.

Pursuant to G.S. 105-241.1, the Department mailed Notices of Sales & Use Tax Assessment to the Taxpayer on April 8, 2003. The Taxpayer timely requested a hearing in a letter dated April 29, 2003.

<u>ISSUE</u>

The issue to be decided is as follows:

Has the Department properly assessed the Taxpayer for the Mecklenburg County Public Transportation sales tax liability of the corporation for which he was the responsible corporate officer?

EVIDENCE

The following items were introduced into evidence by the Department:

(1) Memorandum dated May 16, 2001 from the Secretary of Revenue to the Assistant Secretary of Administrative Tax Hearings, designated as Exhibit E-1.

- (2) Copy of Notice of Mecklenburg County Sales and Use Tax Assessment dated April 8, 2003 covering the period August 1, 1999 through August 31, 1999, designated as Exhibit E-2.
- (3) Copy of Notice of Mecklenburg County Sales and Use Tax Assessment dated April 8, 2003 covering the period September 1, 1999 through September 30, 1999, designated as Exhibit E-3.
- (4) Copy of Notice of Mecklenburg County Sales and Use Tax Assessment dated April 8, 2003 covering the period October 1, 1999 through October 31, 1999, designated as Exhibit E-4.
- (5) Copy of Notice of Mecklenburg County Sales and Use Tax Assessment dated April 9, 2003 covering the period January 1, 2000 through January 31, 2000, designated as Exhibit E-5.
- (6) Copy of Notice of Mecklenburg County Sales and Use Tax Assessment dated April 8, 2003, covering the period February 1, 2000 through February 28, 2000, designated as Exhibit E-6.
- (7) Copy of Notice of Mecklenburg County Sales and Use Tax Assessment dated April 8, 2003, covering the period March 1, 2000 through March 31, 2000, designated as Exhibit E-7.
- (8) Copy of Notice of Mecklenburg County Sales and Use Tax Assessment dated April 8, 2003, covering the period April 1, 2000 through April 30, 2000, designated as Exhibit E-8.
- (9) Copy of Notice of Mecklenburg County Sales and Use Tax Assessment dated April 8, 2003, covering the period September 1, 2000 through September 30, 2000, designated as Exhibit E-9.
- (10) Copy of Notice of Mecklenburg County Sales and Use Tax Assessment dated April 8, 2003, covering the period October 1, 2000 through October 31, 2000, designated as Exhibit E-10.
- (11) Copy of Notice of Mecklenburg County Sales and Use Tax Assessment dated April 8, 2003, covering the period November 1, 2000 through November 30, 2000, designated as Exhibit E-11.
- (12) Copy of Notice of Mecklenburg County Sales and Use Tax Assessment dated April 8, 2003, covering the period December 1, 2000 through December 31, 2000, designated as Exhibit E-12.

- (13) Copy of Notice of Mecklenburg County Sales and Use Tax Assessment dated April 8, 2003, covering the period January 1, 2001 through January 31, 2001, designated as Exhibit E-13.
- (14) Copy of Notice of Mecklenburg County Sales and Use Tax Assessment dated April 8, 2003, covering the period February 1, 2001 through February 28, 2001, designated as Exhibit E-14.
- (15) Copy of Notice of Mecklenburg County Sales and Use Tax Assessment dated April 8, 2003, covering the period March 1, 2001 through March 31, 2001, designated as Exhibit E-15.
- (16) Letter from the Taxpayer to the Department dated April 29, 2003, designated as Exhibit E-16.
- (17) Letter from the Sales & Use Tax Division to the Taxpayer dated June 10, 2003, designated Exhibit E-17.
- (18) Letter from the Taxpayer to the Sales & Use Tax Division dated June 26, 2003, designated Exhibit E-18.
- (19) Letter from a North Carolina State Senator to the Department dated August 12, 2003, designated Exhibit E-19.
- (20) Letter from the Sales & Use Tax Division to a North Carolina State Senator dated August 14, 2003, designated Exhibit E-20.
- (21) Letter from the Assistant Secretary of Revenue to the Taxpayer dated August 17, 2004, designated as Exhibit E-21.
- (22) Letter from the Taxpayer to the Assistant Secretary of Revenue dated August 22, 2004, designated Exhibit E-22.
- (23) Letter from the Assistant Secretary of Revenue to the Taxpayer dated September 24, 2004, designated Exhibit E-23.
- (24) Letter from the Taxpayer to the Assistant Secretary or Revenue dated November 5, 2004, designated Exhibit E-24.

Evidence presented by the Taxpayer for the hearing held by written correspondence consisted of:

(25) Letter from the Taxpayer's attorney to the Assistant Secretary of Revenue dated December 8, 2004, designated as Exhibit TP-1.

Evidence presented by the Sales and Use Tax Division in response to the Taxpayer's letter consisted of:

(26) Memorandum from the Sales and Use Tax Division to the Assistant Secretary of Revenue dated December 13, 2004, designated Exhibit ST-1.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the foregoing evidence of record, the Assistant Secretary makes the following findings of fact:

- (1) The Taxpayer was the president of the corporation and the officer responsible for filing the corporation's Mecklenburg County public transportation sales and use tax reports for the periods in question.
- (2) The delinquent returns for the periods March 1, 2000 through April 30, 2000, and November 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001 were signed by the Taxpayer, and all of the returns were filed by the Taxpayer on July 6, 2001.
- (3) The Taxpayer notified Department personnel at the time he filed the delinquent returns that he had sold the business on June 17, 2001, and advised them to whom it had been sold.
- (4) The Taxpayer made a payment of \$500.00 to cover a portion of the sales tax liability on July 24, 2001, shortly after he filed the delinquent returns, but that check was returned for insufficient funds.
- (5) Notices of proposed Mecklenburg County sales and use tax assessments against the Taxpayer as the responsible corporate officer were mailed to the Taxpayer on April 8, 2003.
- (6) The Taxpayer objected to the assessments and timely requested a hearing to resolve the matter.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on the foregoing findings of fact, the Assistant Secretary makes the following conclusions of law:

(1) The Taxpayer was the sole stockholder of the business and the corporate officer responsible for filing Mecklenburg County public transportation sales and use tax returns for the periods in question. G.S. 105-253.

- (2) Mecklenburg County public transportation sales and use taxes are liens upon all personal property of any person engaged in business and who stops engaging in business by selling a business and/or its assets or by going out of business.
- (3) A person who stops engaging in business must file the Mecklenburg County public transportation sales and use tax returns within 30 days after selling the business and/or its assets or after going out of business.
- (4) Each responsible officer of any corporation that is required to file sales and use tax returns is personally and individually liable for payment of the tax owed by the corporation. The term "responsible officer" means the president and the treasurer of a corporation.
- (5) The Department is authorized to hold a purchaser of a business or its assets personally liable for the business's unpaid taxes; however, this authorization does not preclude the Department from assessing the liability against the seller of the business, especially when the seller is the responsible corporate officer.
- (6) The Taxpayer is liable for the Mecklenburg County public transportation sales and use tax.
- (7) The Notices of Mecklenburg County Sales & Use Tax Assessment for the periods in question were issued pursuant to G.S. 105-241.1 on April 8, 2003.

FINAL DECISION

The Taxpayer objects to the Department's efforts to collect from him the tax, penalties, and interest due for the periods for which he was the president and the corporate officer responsible for filing the business's sales and use tax returns. The Taxpayer reasons that when he sold the business, any tax liability for the business became the responsibility of the new owner. The Taxpayer notified Department personnel at the time he filed the delinquent returns that he had sold the business and to whom it had been sold, and, after that, he concluded that his liability for the delinquent taxes had been passed on to the purchaser.

I disagree with his conclusion. The Taxpayer does not question that he was the owner of the business and the corporate officer responsible for filing the Mecklenburg County public transportation sales and use tax reports for the periods in question. The Taxpayer argues that G.S. 105-164.38 provides that the party who purchased his business should have withheld from the consideration he paid the Taxpayer an amount sufficient to cover the corporation's tax liability. However, that statute also states that the Taxpayer should have provided the purchaser with a statement from the Department indicating that the corporation had no outstanding tax liability. The Department could not issue such a statement to the Taxpayer or the purchaser because, at the time the Taxpayer sold the business, the returns and the Mecklenburg County public transportation sales and use tax for the periods in question had not been filed or paid.

More to the point, the fact that one statute authorizes the Department to assess a purchaser for a business's tax liability in no way prevents the Department from assessing the seller of the business, especially when another statute authorizes the Department to assess the responsible corporate officer and the party who was originally delinquent in filing the returns and remitting the tax. The Taxpayer is the responsible officer in this case. While it is unfortunate that the purchaser never paid the Taxpayer in full and left this State with all of the business's assets, the Department was correct in assessing the Taxpayer for the outstanding liability.

Therefore, the assessments of tax, penalties, and interest are deemed correct
under the law and the facts and are hereby declared to be finally determined and
immediately due and payable as allowed by law.

Made and entered this ______ day of _______, 2005.

Eugene J. Cella Assistant Secretary of Revenue For Administrative Tax Hearings